

TYLER M. PAETKAU, Bar No. 146305
JORJA E. JACKSON, Bar No. 226707
LITTLER MENDELSON
A Professional Corporation
650 California Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108.2693
Telephone: 415.433.1940
Facsimile: 415.399-8490

Attorneys for Defendant
NAYEF Y. ABOUSOUD dba
E&J LIQUORS & DELI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MARCY VELASQUEZ,

Case No. CV 07-5857-JCS

Plaintiff,

V.

NAYEF Y. ABOUSOUD, individual and
dba E&J LIQUORS & DELI,

Defendants.

**DEFENDANT NAYEF Y ABOUSOUD DBA
E&J LIQUORS & DELI'S ANSWER TO
PLAINTIFF'S UNVERIFIED COMPLAINT
FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF**

Judge: Hon. Magistrate Joseph C. Spero
Complaint Filed: November 19, 2007
Trial Date: None Set

Defendant NAYEF Y. ABOUSOUD dba E&J LIQUORS & DELI ("Defendant") hereby answers the unverified Complaint ("Complaint") of Plaintiff MARCY VELASQUEZ ("Plaintiff") as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The allegations contained in paragraph 1 are legal conclusions that the Defendant is not obligated to answer. Defendant submits that jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C § 1331 as a result of original jurisdiction based on federal question.

2. Defendant admits that the conduct complained of allegedly occurred in Rio Dell, California. Defendant submits that venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C §§ 84(a), 1331 & 1391.

I. INTRODUCTION

3. Defendant admits that E&J LIQUORS & DELI is located at 127 Wildflower Avenue, Rio Dell, California. Defendant further admits that E&J LIQUORS & DELI is owned and operated by Nayef Y. Abousoud.

4. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to bring an action for violation of Plaintiff's civil rights under federal and California law as alleged in paragraph 4 of the Complaint. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies all other allegations in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

II. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

5. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief that Plaintiff is disabled as alleged in paragraph 5 of the Complaint and on that basis denies said allegation.

6. Defendant admits that E&J LIQUORS & DELI is owned and operated by Nayef Y. Abousoud. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.

7. Defendant objects to the term "keeper" as vague, ambiguous and unintelligible. Defendant admits the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint, except that Defendant denies that it was a "builder" of E&J LIQUORS & DELI.

8. Defendant admits that E&J LIQUORS & DELI is owned and operated by Nayef Y. Abousoud. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

9. Paragraph 9 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.

10. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.

11. Defendant admits that Nayef Y. Abousoud is the owner and operator of E&J LIQUORS & DELI in Rio Dell, California. Defendant denies that E&J LIQUORS & DELI was constructed after 1990. The remaining allegations contain legal conclusions that Defendant is not

obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

12. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief whether Plaintiff visited E&J LIQUORS located in Rio Dell, California on or about August 27, 2007 for the purpose of making a purchase, and on that basis, Defendant denies this and all other allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Complaint.

13. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

14. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.

15. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

16. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks various remedies, but Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

(42 U.S.C § 12101, *et seq.*)

17. Paragraph 17 of the Complaint simply incorporates by reference prior paragraphs of the Complaint. Defendant incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth, its responses stated above to each of the paragraphs Plaintiff incorporates by reference.

18. Paragraph 18 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

19. Paragraph 19 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint.

1 20. Paragraph 20 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 2 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 3 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 4 allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.

5 21. Paragraph 21 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 6 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 7 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 8 allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the Complaint.

9 22. Paragraph 22 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 10 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 11 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 12 allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Complaint.

13 23. Paragraph 23 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 14 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 15 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 16 allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

17 24. Paragraph 24 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 18 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 19 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 20 allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.

21 25. Paragraph 25 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 22 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies the
 23 allegations as contained in paragraph 25 of the Complaint.

24 26. Paragraph 26 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 25 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 26 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 27 allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Complaint.

27. Paragraph 27 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the Complaint.

28. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.

29. Paragraph 29 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the Complaint.

30. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 30 of the Complaint. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of the Complaint.

31. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint.

32. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 32 of the Complaint. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint.

33. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 33 of the Complaint. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF STATUTORY PROTECTIONS FOR PERSONS WITH PHYSICAL DISABILITIES (California Health & Safety Code § 19955, *et seq.*)

34. Paragraph 34 of the Complaint simply incorporates by reference prior paragraphs of the Complaint. Defendant incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth, its responses stated above to each of the paragraphs Plaintiff incorporates by reference.

35. Paragraph 35 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies

1 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 2 allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the Complaint.

3 36. Paragraph 36 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 4 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 5 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 6 allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the Complaint.

7 37. Paragraph 37 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 8 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 9 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 10 allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the Complaint.

11 38. Defendant denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 38 of the Complaint because
 12 the bathroom at E&J LIQUOR & DELI was not opened to the public.

13 39. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the
 14 allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

15 40. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the
 16 allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the Complaint and, on that basis, denies said allegations.

17 41. Paragraph 41 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 18 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies
 19 Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the
 20 allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the Complaint.

21 42. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 of the Complaint.

22 43. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 43 of the Complaint.

23 44. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 of the Complaint.

24 45. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 of the Complaint.

25 46. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that
 26 Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 46 of the Complaint. Defendant denies
 27 all other allegations contained in Paragraph 46 of the Complaint.

28

47. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 47 of the Complaint. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 47 of the Complaint.

48. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 48 of the Complaint.

49. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 49 of the Complaint. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 49 of the Complaint.

50. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 50 of the Complaint. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 50 of the Complaint.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

(California Civil Code §§ 54, 54.1 and 54.3)

51. Paragraph 51 of the Complaint simply incorporates by reference prior paragraphs of the Complaint. Defendant incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth, its responses stated above to each of the paragraphs Plaintiff incorporates by reference.

52. Defendant admits that E&J LIQUORS & DELI is a public facility, and is a facility to which members of the public are invited, except that its bathroom is not open to the public. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to form a belief whether or not Plaintiff is a person with a disability and on that basis denies said allegation. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 52 of the Complaint.

53. Paragraph 53 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the allegations contained in paragraph 53 of the Complaint.

54. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 54 of the Complaint.

55. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that

1 Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 55 of the Complaint. Defendant denies
 2 all other allegations contained in Paragraph 55 of the Complaint.

3 56. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that
 4 Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 56 of the Complaint. Defendant denies
 5 all other allegations contained in Paragraph 56 of the Complaint.

6 57. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that
 7 Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 57 of the Complaint. Defendant denies
 8 all other allegations contained in Paragraph 57 of the Complaint.

9 58. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 58 of the Complaint.

10 59. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that
 11 Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 59 of the Complaint. Defendant denies
 12 all other allegations contained in Paragraph 59 of the Complaint.

13 60. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint.

14 61. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 61 of the Complaint.

15 62. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 62 of the Complaint.

16 63. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that
 17 Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 63 of the Complaint. Defendant denies
 18 all other allegations contained in Paragraph 63 of the Complaint.

20 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

21 VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

22 (California Civil Code § 51 and 51.5.)

23 64. Paragraph 64 of the Complaint simply incorporates by reference prior paragraphs of
 24 the Complaint. Defendant incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth, its responses stated
 25 above to each of the paragraphs Plaintiff incorporates by reference.

26 65. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 65 of the Complaint.

27 66. Paragraph 66 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that
 28 Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies

Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the Complaint.

67. Paragraph 67 of the Complaint contains legal conclusions or principles that Defendant is not obligated to answer. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies Plaintiff's interpretation of the statutes, as the statutes speaks for themselves, and denies the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the Complaint.

68. Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks certain remedies, but Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in paragraph 68 of the Complaint. Defendant denies all other allegations contained in Paragraph 68 of the Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

As separate and distinct affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's unverified Complaint, Defendant alleges as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1. Defendant alleges that neither the Complaint, nor any cause of action set forth therein, states facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendant.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred from any remedy, or certain remedies, by the doctrine of estoppel.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff has not suffered, and will not suffer, irreparable harm as a result of any of the alleged conduct and/or alleged omissions of Defendant.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff's legal remedies are adequate.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred from any remedy, or certain remedies, under the doctrine of laches.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred from any remedy, or certain remedies, under the doctrine of unclean hands.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff lacks standing to sue.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. Defendants alleges that Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her alleged damages.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. Defendant alleges that California Civil Code §§ 51, 52, 54, 54.1 and 54.3 and California Health and Safety Code §§ 19955 *et seq.* do not create a private cause of action for damages for alleged discrimination. California Civil Code § 55 provides only a private cause of action to enjoin such alleged statutory violations.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. Assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff was denied access to a place of public accommodation (which Defendant expressly denies), Defendant alleges that such exclusion was not unlawful because access could not be provided to Plaintiff without causing undue hardship to Defendant.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

11. Assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff was denied access to the subject facility (which Defendant expressly denies), Defendant alleges that such exclusion was not unlawful because access could not be provided to Plaintiff without fundamentally altering the nature and/or character of the business establishment and the goods and services provided therein.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12. Assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff was denied access to the subject facility (which

1 Defendant expressly denies), Defendant alleges that such exclusion was not unlawful because barrier
 2 removal was not "readily achievable."

3 **THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

4 13. Assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff was denied access to the subject facility, Defendant
 5 alleges that such exclusion was not unlawful because the facility operated by Defendant was not a
 6 newly constructed facility and did not have any alterations, requiring compliance with Title III of the
 7 Americans with Disabilities Act, California Health & Safety Code Section 19955 *et seq.*, or Title 24
 8 of the California Code of Regulations, during the relevant time frame.

9 **FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

10 14. Assuming *arguendo* that the facilities at issue were designed, developed, constructed,
 11 modified and/or altered in violation of federal and/or California law, Defendant alleges that all legal
 12 requirements for access by disabled persons have been met through the obtaining of necessary
 13 permits from appropriate legal authorities for the construction, alteration or modification of the
 14 premises, and that Defendant reasonably relied on the actions of such legal authorities.

15 **FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

16 15. Defendant alleges that California Civil Code §§ 54.3 and 55, providing for public
 17 prosecution and private injunctive relief for violations of California Civil Code § 54.1, are to be
 18 exclusive, and that damages provided for by California Civil Code § 52 are not recoverable for such
 19 violations.

20 **SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

21 16. Assuming *arguendo* that the facilities at issue were designed, developed, constructed,
 22 modified and/or altered in violation of federal and/or California law, Defendant alleges that it had no
 23 such knowledge.

24 **SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

25 17. Defendant alleges that it did not deny Plaintiff full and equal access to goods,
 26 services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations within a public accommodation
 27 owned, leased and/or operated by Defendant as required by California and federal laws, including,
 28

1 but not limited to, the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et seq.*, the California
 2 Disabled Persons Act, California Civil Code §§ 54 *et seq.*, the California Unruh Civil Rights Act,
 3 California Civil Code §§ 51 *et seq.*, and the California Health and Safety Code Part 5.5.

4 **EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

5 18. Defendants alleges that it provided Plaintiff with alternative methods for access to the
 6 facility.

7 **NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

8 19. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has failed to exhaust the administrative remedies
 9 available to her.

10 **TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

11 20. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff failed to notify Defendant of her need, if any, for
 12 equivalent service and access or accommodation, and failed to allow Defendant the opportunity to
 13 provide such equivalent service and access or accommodation.

14 **TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

15 21. Defendant alleges that the acts or omissions alleged were the proximate result of the
 16 conduct of third persons such that Defendant cannot be held liable for such acts or omissions, and
 17 that said third persons owe a duty to Defendant to indemnify it for any damages, attorneys' fees
 18 and/or costs incurred as a result of its litigation.

19 **TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

20 22. Defendant alleges that the alleged acts or omissions to which Plaintiff refers which
 21 form the basis of Plaintiff's Complaint were done, if at all, in good faith, honestly and without
 22 malice and Defendant has not violated any rights Plaintiff may have under federal, state or local
 23 laws, regulations or guidelines.

24 **TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

25 23. Defendant alleges that the cost of some or all of the modifications to the subject
 26 properties that Plaintiff seeks to have imposed upon Defendant is disproportionate in terms of the
 27 cost and scope to that of any alterations made within the statutory period, if any.

1

TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2

24. Defendant alleges that it granted Plaintiff access to the subject facilities, and if
 3 Plaintiff was in fact excluded from the subject facility, any such exclusion was for reasons rationally
 4 related to the services performed and the facilities provided by Defendant.

5

TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6

25. Defendant alleges that it has fulfilled any obligation that it may have had to
 7 reasonably accommodate Plaintiff's alleged disabilities.

8

TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9

26. Defendant alleges that any and all actions taken by Defendant, or anyone acting on its
 10 behalf, if any, with respect to Plaintiff or the conditions of the establishment in question, were for
 11 lawful and legitimate, non-discriminatory reasons.

12

TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13

27. Defendant alleges that the Complaint and each and every cause of action alleged
 14 therein is barred in that at all times relevant hereto, Defendant acted reasonably, in good faith and
 15 without malice, based upon the relevant facts and circumstances known to it at the time it acted, if at
 16 all.

17

TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18

28. Defendant alleges that Defendant's services and/or facilities provided to the public
 19 are readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities as required by California and federal
 20 laws, including, but not limited to, the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et seq.*,
 21 the California Disabled Persons Act, California Civil Code §§ 54 *et seq.*, the California Unruh Civil
 22 Rights Act, California Civil Code §§ 51 *et seq.*, and the California Health and Safety Code Part 5.5.

23

TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24

29. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff was not a true customer of the business, and that
 25 Plaintiff was merely a tester engaged in visiting the subject facilities in order to ascertain whether
 26 there were any purported ADA and/or related violations.

THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

30. Assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff was denied access to the subject facility, Defendant alleges that it would be structurally impracticable to design and construct the facility to make it reasonably accessible to people with disabilities.

THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

31. Assuming *arguendo* that Plaintiff was denied access to the subject facility, Defendant alleges that such exclusion was not unlawful because the facility in which Defendant operates its business was not a newly constructed facility, nor did it have any alterations requiring compliance with any applicable law during the relevant timeframe.

THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

32. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff did not exercise due caution or care with respect to matters alleged in the Complaint and if, in fact, Plaintiff suffered any damage or injury, Plaintiff contributed in whole or in part to such damage or injury, and, therefore, any remedy or recovery to which Plaintiff might otherwise be entitled must be denied or reduced accordingly.

THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

33.. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff had equal access to the facilities at issue as non-disabled individuals.

THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

34. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff is not legally entitled to recover any attorneys' fees with regard to this matter.

THIRTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

35. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has failed to state facts sufficient to set forth claim(s) for treble damages.

THIRTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

36. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff has failed to allege special damages with the requisite degree of specificity.

THIRTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

37. Defendant alleges that it owed no duty of care toward Plaintiff.

THIRTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

38. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's alleged injuries and alleged damages, if any, were proximately caused and contributed to by the negligence of Plaintiff.

THIRTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

39. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff is not entitled to equitable relief insofar as she has adequate remedies at law.

FORTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

40. Defendant reserves the right to amend its answer should it later discover facts demonstrating the existence of new and/or additional affirmative defenses, and/or should a change in the law support the inclusion of new and/or additional defenses.

111

111

111

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that:

1. Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint and each claim for relief therein;
2. The Complaint and each claim for relief therein be dismissed in entirety with prejudice;
3. Judgment be entered in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff;
4. Defendant recover its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred herein as Plaintiff and their counsel are vexatious litigants who filed and pursued these frivolous claims;
5. Defendant be awarded its costs of suit herein; and
6. The Court grant Defendant such additional relief as is just and proper.

Dated: January 31, 2008

TYLER M. PAETKAU
JORJA E. JACKSON
LITTLER MENDELSON
A Professional Corporation
Attorneys for Defendant
NAYEF Y. ABOUSOUD dba
E&J LIQUORS & DELI

Firmwide: 84172166.1 800000.3500